

CATEGORY	Exceeds Expectations (3)		Meets Expectations (2)	Does Not Meet Expectations (1)	Absent (0)
Goals & Significance					
Timeline					
Research Design / Methodology					
Future Research OR Future Use at FSW					
Literature Review / Support & Evidence					
Budget					
Does the proposal have any human subjects research potential?	Yes	No	Director of Assessment & Effectiveness will update ARC on IRB status of proposal and General Counsel's input regarding copyright or risk concerns.	Additional comments: _____	
Does the proposal make use of material authorized by others within the project narrative?	Yes	No		_____	
Does the proposal potentially present risk to persons or property?	Yes	No		_____	

ARC members will use a rubric like the one in the example above to score the proposal. The following pages include a rubric specific to each of the four categories of research, as indicated in the upper-left corner of the rubric. Make sure you are looking at the rubric specific to your category as you plan your ARC grant proposal.

ACTION	Exceeds Expectations (3)	Meets Expectations (2)	Does Not Meet Expectations (1)	Absent (0)
Goals & Significance	The research will provide the opportunity to explore and analyze a pedagogical or learning pathway that is innovative and has potential to greatly improve FSW.	The research will provide the opportunity to explore and analyze a pedagogical or learning pathway that is innovative and might improve FSW.	The research will provide the opportunity to explore and analyze a pedagogical or learning pathway that is innovative although potential is limited.	Research does not provide an opportunity to explore or analyze a pedagogical or learning pathway that could improve FSW.
Timeline	Timeline is clear, thorough, and feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is clear but not thorough, and feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is present although not clear, but is feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is absent or not feasible within one year.
Research Design / Methodology	Research questions are direct and methodology is thoroughly aligned to answering questions posed.	Research questions are mostly direct. Methodology appears to be capable of answering questions posed.	Research questions are not clear. Methodology may not be capable of answering questions posed.	No clear research question is posed.
Future Use at FSW	PI has highlighted in extensive detail how the research may be used on a more consistent basis at FSW.	PI has highlighted in detail how research may be used on a more consistent basis at FSW.	PI presents limited detail on how research may be used on a more consistent basis at FSW.	No goals for future use are presented.
Literature Review / Support & Evidence	Empirical evidence and/or a relevant literature review is substantial and strongly supports need for grant.	Empirical evidence and/or a relevant literature review is presented in support of grant need.	Minimal empirical evidence and/or relevant literature review is presented in support of grant need.	No empirical evidence and/or relevant literature review is provided.
Budget	The estimated budget supports the project outcomes; costs are itemized and justified by proposed activities.	Aspects of the estimated budget support the project outcomes, costs may or may not be itemized and justified by proposed activities.	Budget information is incomplete or unsupported.	No budget information is provided.

Does the proposal have any human subjects research potential?	Yes	No	Director of Assessment & Effectiveness will update ARC on IRB status of proposal and General Counsel's input regarding copyright or risk concerns.	Additional comments: _____ _____ _____ _____
Does the proposal make use of material authorized by others within the project narrative?	Yes	No		
Does the proposal potentially present risk to persons or property?	Yes	No		

CLASSICAL	Exceeds Expectations (3)	Meets Expectations (2)	Does Not Meet Expectations (1)	Absent (0)
Goals & Significance	Research has strong potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.	Research appears to have potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.	Research has limited potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.	Research has no potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.
Timeline	Timeline is clear, thorough, and feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is clear but not thorough, and feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is present although not clear, but is feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is absent or not feasible within one year.
Research Design / Methodology	Research questions are direct and methodology is thoroughly aligned to answering questions posed.	Research questions are mostly direct. Methodology appears to be capable of answering questions posed.	Research questions are not clear. Methodology may not be capable of answering questions posed.	No clear research question is posed.
Future Research	Clear goals for the next phase of research are established. PI has highlighted in extensive detail how the research can be advanced following this phase.	Clear goals for the next phase of research are established. PI has highlighted in detail how research can be advanced, though it is not extensive.	Goals for the next phase of research are not clearly defined. PI presents limited detail on how research can be advanced following this phase.	No goals for future research are presented.
Literature Review / Support & Evidence	Empirical evidence and/or a relevant literature review is substantial and strongly supports need for grant.	Empirical evidence and/or a relevant literature review is presented in support of grant need.	Minimal empirical evidence and/or relevant literature review is presented in support of grant need.	No empirical evidence and/or relevant literature review is provided.
Budget	The estimated budget supports the project outcomes; costs are itemized and justified by proposed activities.	Aspects of the estimated budget support the project outcomes, costs may or may not be itemized and justified by proposed activities.	Budget information is incomplete or unsupported.	No budget information is provided.

Does the proposal have any human subjects research potential?	Yes	No	Director of Assessment & Effectiveness will update ARC on IRB status of proposal and General Counsel's input regarding copyright or risk concerns.	Additional comments: _____ _____ _____
Does the proposal make use of material authorized by others within the project narrative?	Yes	No		
Does the proposal potentially present risk to persons or property?	Yes	No		

EXPERIENTIAL	Exceeds Expectations (3)	Meets Expectations (2)	Does Not Meet Expectations (1)	Absent (0)
Goals & Significance	Research will involve the FSW community and has strong potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.	Research will involve the FSW community and appears to have potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.	Research will involve the FSW community but has limited potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.	Research has no potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.
Timeline	Timeline is clear, thorough, and feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is clear but not thorough, and feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is present although not clear, but is feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is absent or not feasible within one year.
Research Design / Methodology	Research questions are direct and methodology is thoroughly aligned to answering questions posed.	Research questions are mostly direct. Methodology appears to be capable of answering questions posed.	Research questions are not clear. Methodology may not be capable of answering questions posed.	No clear research question is posed.
Future Research	Clear goals for the next phase of research are established. PI has highlighted in extensive detail how the research can be advanced following this phase.	Clear goals for the next phase of research are established. PI has highlighted in detail how research can be advanced, though it is not extensive.	Goals for the next phase of research are not clearly defined. PI presents limited detail on how research can be advanced following this phase.	No goals for future research are presented.
Literature Review / Support & Evidence	Empirical evidence and/or a relevant literature review is substantial and strongly supports need for grant.	Empirical evidence and/or a relevant literature review is presented in support of grant need.	Minimal empirical evidence and/or relevant literature review is presented in support of grant need.	No empirical evidence and/or relevant literature review is provided.
Budget	The estimated budget supports the project outcomes; costs are itemized and justified by proposed activities.	Aspects of the estimated budget support the project outcomes, costs may or may not be itemized and justified by proposed activities.	Budget information is incomplete or unsupported.	No budget information is provided.

Does the proposal have any human subjects research potential?	Yes	No
Does the proposal make use of material authorized by others within the project narrative?	Yes	No
Does the proposal potentially present risk to persons or property?	Yes	No

Director of Assessment & Effectiveness will update ARC on IRB status of proposal and General Counsel's input regarding copyright or risk concerns.

Additional comments: _____

DISCOVERY	Exceeds Expectations (3)	Meets Expectations (2)	Does Not Meet Expectations (1)	Absent (0)
Goals & Significance	Research has strong potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.	Research appears to have potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.	Research has limited potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.	Research has no potential to contribute to generalizable knowledge which can be applied to other settings.
Timeline	Timeline is clear, thorough, and feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is clear but not thorough, and feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is present although not clear, but is feasible for completion in one year and for fiscal responsibilities.	Timeline is absent or not feasible within one year.
Research Design / Methodology	Research questions are direct and methodology is thoroughly aligned to answering questions posed and includes extensive details on interview plans, archival visits, or visitation necessity.	Research questions are mostly direct. Methodology appears to be capable of answering questions posed and includes some details on interview plans, archival visits, or visitation necessity.	Research questions are not clear. Methodology may not be capable of answering questions posed and includes limited details on interview plans, archival visits, or visitation necessity.	No clear research question is posed or no clear methodology with interview / archival / visitation plans.
Future Research	Clear goals for the next phase of research are established. PI has highlighted in extensive detail how the research can be advanced following this phase.	Clear goals for the next phase of research are established. PI has highlighted in detail how research can be advanced, though it is not extensive.	Goals for the next phase of research are not clearly defined. PI presents limited detail on how research can be advanced following this phase.	No goals for future research are presented.
Literature Review / Support & Evidence	Empirical evidence and/or a relevant literature review is substantial and strongly supports need for grant.	Empirical evidence and/or a relevant literature review is presented in support of grant need.	Minimal empirical evidence and/or relevant literature review is presented in support of grant need.	No empirical evidence and/or relevant literature review is provided.
Budget	The estimated budget supports the project outcomes; costs are itemized and justified by proposed activities.	Aspects of the estimated budget support the project outcomes, costs may or may not be itemized and justified by proposed activities.	Budget information is incomplete or unsupported.	No budget information is provided.

Does the proposal have any human subjects research potential?	Yes	No	Director of Assessment & Effectiveness will update ARC on IRB status of proposal and General Counsel's input regarding copyright or risk concerns.	Additional comments: _____ _____ _____
Does the proposal make use of material authorized by others within the project narrative?	Yes	No		
Does the proposal potentially present risk to persons or property?	Yes	No		